

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/509,681	03/30/2000	Hans Berg Andreasen		3146
75	90 02/01/2005		EXAM	INER
Sughrue Mion Zinn			OH; SIMON J	
MacPeak & Sea	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2100 Pennsylva			L	TAI EX NOMBER
Washington, DC 20037			1615	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · <u>-</u> •		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/509,681	ANDREASEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Simon J. Oh	1615				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 N</u>	ovember 2004.	•				
·		action is non-final.					
3)□	,—						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		•				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Application	on No				
	$3. \boxtimes$ Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	յ (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(e)						
	Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Papers Received

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's response and additional documentation, all received on 19 August 2004. Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's supplemental response, received on 03 November 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The instant claims are directed to a process of making dextran compositions. This instantly claimed process broadly recites a hydrogenation step and an oxidation step. However, a review of the instant specification shows that the only compound mentioned as being suitable for use in the hydrogenation step of the instantly claimed invention is sodium borohydride, and the only compound mentioned as being suitable for use in the oxidation step of the instantly claimed invention is sodium hypochlorite. Thus, the instant claims do not comply with the written description requirement.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 1-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Usher et al. is hereby

withdrawn.

The rejection of Claims 8, 14, and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Usher et al. and

Mioduszewski et al. is hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03 November 2004 have been fully considered, but the

instant claims are not yet allowable.

In view of the evidence submitted by the applicant, as well as the signed statement by

Professor Klaus Block, the examiner has determined that the instant claims are free of the prior

art. However, as the instant claims fail to comply with the written description requirement, they

are not in condition for allowance.

It is an established of the Office that the disclosure of a single species does not constitute

a sufficient disclosure to support the claim of a genus to which that species belongs. See MPEP

§ 2163, 2163.05, and 2164.03. The examiner suggests canceling Claims 5, 6, and 21-23 and

incorporating the limitations of Claims 21 and 23 into Claims 1 and 9 in order to put the case in

condition for allowance.

Application/Control Number: 09/509,681

Art Unit: 1615

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Simon J. Oh Examiner

Art Unit 1615

sjo

THURMAN K, PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800

Page 4